



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/769,902	01/25/2001	Reba Goodman	61545/JPW/RAD	5006
7590 10/20/2004			EXAMINER	
John P. White		4	SULLIVAN, DANIEL M	
Cooper & Dunh			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
New York, NY 10036			1636	
			DATE MAIL ED: 10/20/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/769,902	GOODMAN ET AL.			
Office Ac	tion Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Daniel M Sullivan	1636			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATHE MAILING DATE  - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS fron  - If the period for reply speci  - If NO period for reply is specified by the Company reply received by the Company in the State of the St	OF THIS COMMUNICATION available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 in the mailing date of this communication. fied above is less than thirty (30) days, a rescified above, the maximum statutory period of or extended period for reply will, by statu	LY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE ng date of this communication, even if timely filed	nely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to	communication(s) filed on 22	September 2004.				
2a) This action is F	FINAL. 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.	<b>`</b>			
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the abov 5)  Claim(s)	2 and 30 is/are rejected.	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specificatio	on is objected to by the Examin	er.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
		ction is required if the drawing(s) is obj Examiner. Note the attached Office	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119	•				
a) All b) So  1. Certified  2. Certified  3. Copies of application	me * c) None of: copies of the priority documer copies of the priority documer of the certified copies of the priority on from the International Burea	nts have been received in Application or ity documents have been received in the contract of t	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cit		4) Interview Summary				
	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	Paper No(s)/Mail Da  5) Notice of Informal P  6) Other:	ate latent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/769,902

Art Unit: 1636

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 22 September 2004 has been entered.

Claims 1-30 were under consideration in the Final Office Action mailed 19 May 2004.

Claims 2-7, 9-12, 14-21 and 23-29 were canceled and claims 1, 13 and 22 were amended in the

22 September Paper. Claims 1, 8, 13, 22 and 30 are pending and under consideration.

### Response to Amendment

Rejection of claims 2-7, 9-12, 14-21 and 23-29 is rendered moot by the cancellation thereof.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1, 8, 22 and 30 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as lacking enablement for the full scope of the claimed subject matter for reasons of record and herein below in the response to arguments.

Rejection of claims 13 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as lacking enablement for the full scope of the claimed subject matter is withdrawn.

Art Unit: 1636

Rejection of claims 1, 8, 13, 22 and 30 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement is withdrawn.

## Response to Arguments

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

In response to the *prima facie* case and arguments of record regarding the failure of the disclosure to enable the claimed method for *in vivo* application, Applicant has amended claim 1 such that the method is no longer limited to being practiced in the context of a gene therapy and has amended the claims such that the gene promoter is limited to comprising a 900 base pair segment of the c-myc promoter fused to a HSP70 gene promoter heat shock responsive element. Applicant asserts, based on these amendments, that the claims are now limited to the enabled scope.

As stated in the 29 December Office Action and reiterated in the 19 May Office Action, "Claims 22-30 are directed to a method for regulating expression of a nucleic acid in a cell using the electromagnetic field responsive promoter. According to the broadest reasonable interpretation, the claims encompass a method of regulating expression *in vitro* or *in vivo*. As the specification provides no asserted utility or guidance as to how the skilled artisan is to use the method *in vivo* other than gene therapy, the claims lack enablement for the method practiced *in vivo* for the reasons set forth in previous Office Actions" (bridging pages 7-8). The amendments to the claims and Applicant's remarks do not address these grounds for rejection. Claims 1, 8, 22 and 30 still embrace a method for regulating the expression of an exogenous gene *in vivo*, for

Art Unit: 1636

which the application fails to provide an enabled use. Therefore, the claims stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, as lacking enablement for the full scope of the claims.

#### New Grounds

## Claim Objections

Claims 1, 13 and 22 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 1, part (a), the second line of claim 13 and the fifth line of claim 22 each recite the phrase "segment of c-myc promoter". According to proper English grammar, there should be an article between "of" and "c-myc". It is recommended that the phrase be amended to recite, "segment of a c-myc promoter". Appropriate correction is required.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel M Sullivan whose telephone number is 571-272-0779. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Remy Yucel, Ph.D. can be reached on 571-272-0781. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/769,902

Art Unit: 1636

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel M Sullivan, Ph.D.

Examiner

Art Unit 1636